



Team Number \_\_\_\_\_

Round (circle one): Preliminaries Quarter-Finals Semi-Finals Finals

Any additional observations, comments, or suggestions for improvement as to either advocate should go on a separate sheet of paper – provided. You are welcomed and encouraged to share those comments with the advocates after you have completed the scoring for each team.

Grading Guidelines (for total score – to be rendered individually as to each Advocate)

108-120 (Superior) 95-107 (Above Average) 82-94 (Average) 69-81 (Fair) Below 68 (Poor)

ADVOCATES' LAST NAMES \_\_\_\_\_

Court Demeanor

- Attire and professional bearing
- Language, deference to Court
- Compliance with courtroom etiquette
- Body language, hand gestures, eye contact

(Maximum 20 Points) \_\_\_\_\_

Knowledge of Record

- Familiarity with names, events sequence, dates
- Familiarity with procedural case history
- Familiarity with court’s reasoning

(Maximum 20 Points) \_\_\_\_\_

Organization and Reasoning

- Outline of argument
- Logical consistency of argument
- Awareness of strengths and weaknesses of case

(Maximum 20 Points) \_\_\_\_\_



**Persuasiveness**

- Presenting argument with little use of notes
- Use of rebuttal (for Petitioners only)
- Enthusiasm, zealousness, proper intonation

**(Maximum 20 Points)**

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**Legal Analysis**

- Use of legal authority
- Use of policy arguments
- Understanding of legal issues

**(Maximum 20 Points)**

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**Responsiveness to Questions**

- Use of direct, responsive answers
- Ability to dispose of collateral issues tactfully
- Ability to return to argument after questions
- Control of discussion, without interrupting Judges
- Ability to perceive and discuss issues important to the Court
- Flexibility in presenting points in response to questions

**(Maximum 20 Points)**

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**TOTAL (out of 120 points):**

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**Judge Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Signature:** \_\_\_\_\_